

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/910,849	07/24/2001	Nobuyuki Kobayashi	P21228	P21228 4815		
7055	7590 03/11/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C. 1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			SELLERS,	SELLERS, DANIEL R		
RESTON, V			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2644			
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/910,	849	KOBAYASHI ET A	AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit				
		. Sellers	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this commo	unication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this column of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reaching the period for the period for the period for reaching the period for reaching the period for reaching the period for reaching the period for the period for reaching the period for the period for reaching the period for the	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no- mmunication. or (30) days, a reply within the so- statutory period will apply and ply will, by statute, cause the a us after the mailing date of this	event, however, may a reply be t atutory minimum of thirty (30) da will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from optication to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timel in the mailing date of this o ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>24 July 2001</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn from o		***				
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 July 200 Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected	0.1 is/are: a) \square accep bjection to the drawing(s) and the correction is required.	be held in abeyance. So ired if the drawing(s) is o	by the Examiner. ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 Cl	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priorical Copies of the certified copies of the priorical Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	ty documents have be ty documents have be s of the priority docur	en received. en received in Applica nents have been receiv	tion No	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office act	tion for a list of the ce	tified copies not receiv	red.				
				•			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date see attachment.		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5] Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date	O-152)			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No.

10/24/01 11/03/03 03/17/04 Application/Control Number: 09/910,849 Page 2

Art Unit: 2644

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 31, line 17 of the application " ... and records the recording data recorded in said internal memory, in the mounted external memory when...." there is an unnecessary comma between the word "memory" and the word "in". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. The limitation "... when the external memory is removed and mounted during recording..." is unclear as to how an external memory is both to be removed and mounted at the same time, or sequentially. The office interprets this phrase to "... removed or mounted...."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 5. Claims 1, 2, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Tanaka et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,446,177 (hereinafter Tanaka).
- 6. Regarding claim 1, see Tanaka,

A digital recording and reproducing apparatus which is capable of having a removable external memory mounted therein, for performing recording of recording data in the external memory and reproduction based on the recording data recorded in the external memory, the digital recording and reproducing apparatus comprising:

an identification data-generating block for generating identification data for identifying the external memory individually; (Col. 8, lines 21-29)

an internal memory in which the identification data can be recorded; and (Col. 2, lines 66-67). a control block for recording the identification data in the external memory and said internal memory, and carrying out identification data determination processing for determining, when mounting of the external memory is detected, whether or not the identification data recorded in the external memory and the identification data recorded in said internal memory are identical to each other. (Col. 2, line 55 – Col. 3, line 2).

Tanaka teaches these features.

7. Regarding claim 2, the further limitation of claim 1, see Tanaka,

... wherein said control block records the identification data in both of the external memory and said internal memory before the recording data is recorded in the external memory. (Col. 7, lines 18-23).

Tanaka teaches this feature.

Art Unit: 2644

8. Regarding claim 5, the further limitation of claim 1, see the preceding argument with respect to claim 1. Tanaka teaches that the identification data-generating block generates random numerical data.

Page 4

9. Regarding claim 8, the further limitation of claim 2, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 2 and 5. Tanaka teaches the features of claims 2 and 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 3, 4, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Kubo, U.S. Patent No. 6,631,427.
- 12. Regarding claim 3, the further limitation of claim 2, see Tanaka,

... wherein said control block carries out the identification data determination processing when the external memory is removed and mounted during recording of the recording data in the external memory, said control block continuing to record the recording data in the mounted external memory when the identification data recorded in the external memory and the identification data recorded in said internal memory are identical to each other, and

carrying out predetermined error-handling processing when the identification data recorded in the external memory and the identification data recorded in said internal memory are different from each other. (Col. 2, line 63 – Col. 3, line 2).

Tanaka teaches the predetermined error-handling in a memory system. Tanaka teaches that the identification data is compared to determine whether or not the data can be used. Tanaka does not teach the step of recording the data to the memory

Application/Control Number: 09/910,849 Page 5

Art Unit: 2644

system. Kubo teaches the recording of digital data to a memory device (Col. 1, lines 41-53). Kubo teaches that the discriminating means decide whether or not recording can be done on the memory. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Tanaka and Kubo for the purpose of creating a protected removable data system.

13. Regarding claim 4, the further limitation of claim 2,

... wherein said control block causes the recording data to be recorded in said internal memory when the external memory is removed during recording of the recording data in the external memory, carries out the identification data determination processing when the external memory is mounted, and records the recording data recorded in said internal memory, in the mounted external memory when the identification data recorded in the external memory and the identification data recorded in said internal memory are identical to each other.

Tanaka teaches the features of claim 2, and teaches the identification data determination processing when the external memory is mounted. Tanaka does not teach the recording of data to an internal memory. Kubo teaches that the recording process records to the internal memory when the external memory is removed during recording (Col. 1, lines 32-38 and lines 49-53). Kubo teaches that the recorded data in the internal memory is recorded to the external memory (Col. 6, lines 40-45).

- 14. Regarding claim 9, the further limitation of claim 3, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 3 and 5. The combination of Tanaka and Kubo teach these features.
- 15. Regarding claim 10, the further limitation of claim 4, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 4 and 5. The combination of Tanaka and Kubo teach these features.

Art Unit: 2644

16. Claims 6, 7, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka as applied to claims 1 and 5 above, and further in view of Pawlowski et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,038,199 (hereinafter Pawlowski).

Page 6

17. Regarding claim 6, the further limitation of claim 1,

... wherein the recording data is sound data, and wherein the digital recording and reproducing apparatus includes a sound signal input block for inputting an analog sound signal, a sound data generation block for generating the sound data by converting the analog sound signal to digital data and compressing the digital data, a sound signal generation block for decompressing the sound data recorded in the external memory to generate the digital data and converting the digital data to the analog sound signal, and an amplifier circuit for amplifying the converted analog sound signal to output the amplified sound signal.

Tanaka teaches the features of claim 1, however Tanaka does not teach the sound processing blocks as described by these limitations. Pawlowski teaches a portable digital audio recorder. The device has the sound signal input block (Fig. 3, unit 56), the sound data generation block, and the sound signal generation block (Fig. 3, units 52 and 54) for outputting an amplified signal (Fig. 3, unit 58) from an external memory (Fig. 3, unit 64). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Tanaka and Pawlowski for the purpose of creating protected audio works.

- 18. Regarding claim 7, the further limitation of claim 5, see the preceding argument with respect to claim 6. Tanaka teaches the features of claim 5, and therefore the combination of Tanaka and Pawlowski teach the features of claim 7.
- 19. Regarding claim 11, the further limitation of claim 2, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 2 and 6. The combination of Tanaka and Pawlowski teach these features.

Art Unit: 2644

20. Regarding claim 14, the further limitation of claim 8, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 6 and 8. The combination of Tanaka and Pawlowski teach these features.

Page 7

- 21. Claims 12, 13, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combination of Tanaka and Kubo as applied to claim 3 above, and further in view of Pawlowski.
- 22. Regarding claim 12, the further limitation of claim 3, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 3 and 6. The combination of Tanaka and Kubo teach the features of claim 3, however they do not teach the sound processing blocks as described by these limitations. Pawlowski teaches a portable digital audio device with these features. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Pawlowski with the combination of Tanaka and Kubo for the purpose of creating protected audio works.
- 23. Regarding claim 13, the further limitation of claim 4, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 4 and 6. The combination of Tanaka, Kubo, and Pawlowski teach these features.
- 24. Regarding claim 15, the further limitation of claim 9, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 6 and 9. The combination of Tanaka, Kubo, and Pawlowski teach these features.
- 25. Regarding claim 16, the further limitation of claim 10, see the preceding argument with respect to claims 6 and 10. The combination of Tanaka, Kubo, and Pawlowski teach these features.

Art Unit: 2644

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel R. Sellers whose telephone number is 703-605-4300. The examiner can normally be reached Monday to Friday from 9am to 6:30pm with every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sinh Tran can be reached on 703-305-4040. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SINH TRAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 8

DRS